This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Steven J. Simske 10013313-1 2347 09/892,299 06/26/2001 EXAMINER 7590 10/05/2004 SAFAIPOUR, HOUSHANG HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY **Intellectual Property Administration** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 2622

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/892,299	SIMSKE, STEVEN J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Houshang Safaipour	2622
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,17-19,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 8-16,20 and 23 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/17/03 & 7/26/01. 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 17-19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki (U.S. Patent No. 5,296,939).

Regarding claim 1, Suzuki discloses a method, comprising: preview scanning a document and generating a preview scan image; determining a document type based on the preview scan image; mapping the document type to a predetermined workflow; scanning the document to capture an image of the document; and executing the workflow (col. 7, lines 29-49).

Regarding claim 2, Suzuki discloses the method, as set forth in claim 1, wherein determining a document type comprises: generating a region signature of a predetermined portion of the preview scan image; comparing the region signature with known region signatures; determining a match with a known region signature; and determining the predetermined workflow in response to the matched region signature (col. 7, lines 29-49).

Regarding claim 3, Suzuki discloses the method, as set forth in claim 1, wherein determining a document type comprises: generating a region signature of a predetermined portion of the preview scan image, the region signature including size and location of the

Application/Control Number: 09/892,299

Art Unit: 2622

predetermined portion, relative and absolute distribution, and percentage of text and image; comparing the region signature with stored region signatures; determining a match with at least one stored region signature; and determining the predetermined workflow in response to the matched region signature (col. 8, lines 3-29).

Regarding claim 4, Suzuki discloses the method, as set forth in claim 1, wherein determining a document type comprises: generating a region signature of the preview scan image; comparing the region signature with learned region signatures of previous scan tasks; determining a match with a learned region signature; and determining the predetermined workflow in response to the matched region signature (col. 7, lines 29-49).

Regarding claim 5, Suzuki discloses the method, as set forth in claim 1, wherein determining a document type comprises: generating a region signature of the preview scan image; comparing the region signature with stored template region signatures of certain common document types; determining a match with a stored template region signature; and determining the predetermined workflow in response to the matched region signature (col. 8, lines 3-29).

Regarding claim 6, Suzuki discloses the method, as set forth in claim 1, wherein executing the workflow comprises: determining at least one post-scan application for manipulating the scanned image; automatically launching the at least one post-scan application; and processing the scanned image (col. 8, lines 3-29).

Regarding claim 7, Suzuki discloses the method, as set forth in claim 1, wherein executing the workflow comprises: determining at least one post-scan application for manipulating the scanned image; displaying the selection of the at least one post-scan application

Application/Control Number: 09/892,299

Art Unit: 2622

to solicit user input; automatically launching the at least one post-scan application; and processing the scanned image.

Regarding claim 17, Suzuki discloses a system, comprising: a digital image capture device operable to capture images; a scanning module operable to preview scan a document and generate a preview scan image; and an automated scan workflow module operable to determine a document type based on the preview scan image, map the document type to a predetermined workflow, and executing the workflow (col. 7, lines 29-49).

Regarding claim 18, Suzuki discloses the system, as set forth in claim 17, wherein the digital image capture device is a device selected from the group consisting of a scanner, a copier, a camera, a facsimile device, a digital sender, a multipurpose device, and a multifunction device (col. 5, lines 43-47).

Regarding claim 19, Suzuki discloses the system, as set forth in claim 17, wherein the automated scan workflow module is operable to generate a region signature of a predetermined portion of the preview scan image, compare the region signature with known region signatures, determine a match with a known region signature, and determine the predetermined workflow in response to the matched region signature (col. 8, lines 3-29).

Regarding claim 21, Suzuki discloses a scanner comprising: a scanning module operable to preview scan a document and generate a preview scan image; and an automated scan workflow module operable to determine a document type based on the preview scan image, map the document type to a predetermined workflow, and executing the workflow (col. 7, lines 29-49).

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 22, Suzuki discloses the scanner, as set forth in claim 21, wherein the automated scan workflow module is operable to generate a region signature of a predetermined portion of the preview scan image, compare the region signature with known region signatures, determine a match with a known region signature, and determine the predetermined workflow in response to the matched region signature (col. 7, lines 29-49).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-16, 20 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (703)306-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L Coles, Sr. can be reached on (703)305-4712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,299

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Houshang Safaipour Patent Examiner Art Unit 2622 September 30, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600